## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS JONESBORO DIVISION

AQUAILIA RENEE RAMSEY

**PLAINTIFF** 

V.

3:05CV00277 GTE

RUSSELL et al.

**DEFENDANTS** 

## **ORDER**

Plaintiff initiated this § 1983 action by filing a Complaint (docket entry #1), unaccompanied by an Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis*. In an Order dated December 28, 2005 (docket entry #2), the Court ordered Plaintiff to file, within thirty days, an *In Forma Pauperis* application or the statutory filing fee. Plaintiff was also cautioned of the requirements of Local Rule 5.5(c)(2), which provides that if any communication from the Court to a *pro se* litigant is not responded to within thirty days, the case may be dismissed without prejudice.

More than thirty days have passed since the entry date of that Order, and Plaintiff has not responded to the Court's Order. Under these circumstances, the Court concludes that this case should be dismissed without prejudice for failure to comply with Local Rule 5.5(c)(2). *See Miller v. Benson*, 51 F.3d 166, 168 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995)("District courts have inherent power to dismiss *sua sponte* a case for failure to prosecute, and we review the exercise of this power for abuse of discretion.")

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's Complaint (docket entry #1) be and it is

|  | hereby | DISN | <i>M</i> ISSED | WITHO | UT | <b>PREJ</b> | UDICE |
|--|--------|------|----------------|-------|----|-------------|-------|
|--|--------|------|----------------|-------|----|-------------|-------|

DATED this 3rd day of February, 2006.

\_/s/Garnett Thomas Eisele\_\_\_\_ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE